FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.661 OF 2007
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST.NO.5283 OF 2007

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.Sudhir Thalsania, Mr.H.N.Thakore, Ms.Jyoti Ghag i/b Thakore Jariwala & Associates for respondent no.3.
Mr.Vishwanath Talkute for the petitioner.

CORAM: SMT.RANJANA DESAI &
D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.
DATED: 29TH JUNE, 2007.

P.C.:

. We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Mr.Talkute, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is not pressing the review petition on merits. In fact he has filed review petition with a view to persuading this court to pass an order protecting petitioner's services. In our opinion, it is not necessary to entertain the review petition on this ground because admittedly, so far the services of the petitioner are not terminated. It will be open for the petitioner to adopt appropriate proceedings as and when action of termination of

his services is taken. All the contentions so far his prayer for protection of services are concerned are kept open. The petitioner, however, cannot challenge the findings recorded by the scrutiny committee which are confirmed by this court in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The writ petition is disposed of as aforestated. We make it clear that if the respondent's services are terminated, the order of termination shall not come in operation for a period of two weeks from the date of order. All civil applications are disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(SMT.RANJANA DESAI, J.)**